arXiv:0910.4083v1 [math.DG] 21 Oct 2009

Estimates on the first two buckling eigenvalues on spherical domains *

Guangyue Huang, Xingxiao Li †, Xuerong Qi
Department of Mathematics, Henan Normal University
Xinxiang 453007, Henan, P.R. China

Abstract. In this paper, we study the first two eigenvalues of the buckling problem on spherical domains. We obtain an estimate on the second eigenvalue in terms of the first eigenvalue, which improves one recent result obtained by Wang-Xia in [7].
Keywords: eigenvalue, universal bound, spherical domain, buckling problem.
Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 35P15, Secondary 53C20.

1 Introduction

Let Ω be a connected bounded domain in an n-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ and ν be the unit outward normal vector field of $\partial\Omega$. The well-known eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = -\Lambda \Delta u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

is called a *buckling problem*, which is used to describe the critical buckling load of a clamped plate subjected to a uniform compressive force around its boundary.

Let

$$0 < \Lambda_1 \leq \Lambda_2 \leq \Lambda_3 \leq \cdots$$

denote the successive eigenvalues for (1.1), where each eigenvalue is repeated according to its multiplicity. In 1956, Payne-Pólya-Weinberger [6] proved that

$$\Lambda_2 \leq \left(1 + \frac{4}{n}\right) \Lambda_1.$$

Subsequently, Hile-Yeh [4] improved the above inequality as follows:

$$\Lambda_2 \leq \frac{n^2 + 8n + 20}{(n+2)^2} \Lambda_1.$$

*This research is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Project No. 10671181) and National Natural Science Foundation of Henan (Project No. 092300410143; 2009A110010).
†The corresponding author. Email: xxl@henannu.edu.cn

In 1994, Chen-Qian [2] considered the following more general eigenvalue problem:

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^p u = \Lambda(-\Delta)^q u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \cdots = \frac{\partial^{p-1} u}{\partial \nu^{p-1}} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

with p and q are positive integers and $p > q$. They proved that

$$\Lambda_2 \leq \frac{(n+2q)^2 + 4p(2p+n-2) - 4q(2q+n-2)}{(n+2q)^2}\Lambda_1.$$

In 1998, Ashbaugh [1] found that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Lambda_{i+1} \leq (n+4)\Lambda_1.$$

For answering a question of Ashbaugh in [1], Cheng-Yang [3] obtained in a recent survey paper a universal inequality for higher eigenvalues of the buckling problem. In fact, they proved that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1} - \Lambda_i)^2 \leq \frac{4(n+2)}{n^2}\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1} - \Lambda_i)\Lambda_i.$$

In 2007, Wang-Xia [7] considered the buckling problem on domains in a unit sphere and obtained the following result:

Theorem A. *Let Λ_i be the i^{th} eigenvalue of the following eigenvalue problem:*

$$\Delta^2 u = -\Lambda\Delta u \ \text{ in } \Omega, \qquad u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \ \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

where Ω is a connected domain in an n-dimensional unit sphere with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and ν is the unit outward normal vector field of $\partial\Omega$. Then for any $\delta > 0$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1} - \Lambda_i)^2 \leq & \sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1} - \Lambda_i)^2 \left(\delta\Lambda_i + \frac{\delta^2(\Lambda_i - (n-2))}{4(\delta\Lambda_i + n - 2)}\right) \\ & + \frac{1}{\delta}\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1} - \Lambda_i)\left(\Lambda_i + \frac{(n-2)^2}{4}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

Recently, Huang-Li-Cao [5] improved the above result as follows:

Theorem B. *Under the assumption of Theorem A. Then for any $\delta > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1} - \Lambda_i)^2 \left(2 + \frac{n-2}{\Lambda_i - (n-2)}\right) \\ \leq & 2\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1} - \Lambda_i)^2 \left(\Lambda_i - \frac{n-2}{\Lambda_i - (n-2)}\right)\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \times \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1} - \Lambda_i)\left(\Lambda_i + \frac{(n-2)^2}{4}\right)\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

In the present paper, we consider the first two eigenvalues of the buckling problem on spherical domains and obtain the following result:

Theorem 1.1. *Let Λ_i be the i^{th} eigenvalue of the following eigenvalue problem:*

$$\Delta^2 u = -\Lambda \Delta u \ \text{ in } \Omega, \qquad u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \ \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \tag{1.4}$$

where Ω is a connected domain in an n-dimensional unit sphere with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and ν is the unit outward normal vector field of $\partial\Omega$. Then we have

$$\Lambda_2 \leq \Lambda_1 + \left(\frac{n(n-\Lambda_1)}{\Lambda_1} + 2(n+2) \right) \frac{4\Lambda_1 + (n-2)^2}{(n+2)^2}. \tag{1.5}$$

Corollary 1.2. *Under the same assumption of Theorem 1.1, we have*

$$\Lambda_2 \leq \left(1 + \frac{8}{n+2} \right) \Lambda_1 + \frac{2(n-2)^2}{n+2}. \tag{1.6}$$

Remark. From the inequality (2.18) in section 2, we have

$$\Lambda_1 \geq n.$$

Hence, we derive from (1.3) that

$$(\Lambda_2 - \Lambda_1) \leq \Lambda_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ (\Lambda_2 - \Lambda_1) \left(\Lambda_1 + \frac{(n-2)^2}{4} \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{1.7}$$

That is,

$$\Lambda_2 \leq \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_1 \left(\Lambda_1 + \frac{(n-2)^2}{4} \right). \tag{1.8}$$

Note that

$$\left(1 + \frac{8}{n+2} \right) \Lambda_1 + \frac{2(n-2)^2}{n+2} < \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_1 \left(\Lambda_1 + \frac{(n-2)^2}{4} \right).$$

Thus it is not hard to see that, for the first two eigenvalues of problem (1.4), the inequality (1.6) is sharper than (1.3).

2 Proof of Theorem

Let $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n+1}$ be the standard coordinate functions of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Define

$$\mathbb{S}^n = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \ ; \ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} x_\alpha^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

Denote by u_1 the eigenfunction corresponding to Λ_1 of the eigenvalue problem (1.4), that is

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u_1 = -\Lambda_1 \Delta u_1 \ \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u_1 = \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial \nu} = 0 \ \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \\ \int_\Omega \langle \nabla u_1, \nabla u_1 \rangle = 1. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$\varphi_\alpha = x_\alpha u_1 - C_\alpha u_1, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$C_\alpha = \int_\Omega x_\alpha u_1(-\Delta)u_1.$$

Then one gets $\varphi_\alpha = \partial\varphi_\alpha/\partial\nu = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ and

$$\int_\Omega \langle \nabla\varphi_\alpha, \nabla u_1\rangle = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

It follows from the Rayleigh-Ritz inequality that

$$\Lambda_2 \le \frac{\int_\Omega \varphi_\alpha \Delta^2\varphi_\alpha}{\int_\Omega |\nabla\varphi_\alpha|^2}. \tag{2.3}$$

Using integration by parts and the definition of φ_α, one finds that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |\nabla\varphi_\alpha|^2 &= \int_\Omega \varphi_\alpha(-\Delta)\varphi_\alpha \\ &= \int_\Omega \varphi_\alpha(-\Delta)(x_\alpha u_1) \\ &= \int_\Omega \varphi_\alpha x_\alpha(-\Delta)u_1 - \int_\Omega \varphi_\alpha[\Delta(x_\alpha u_1) + x_\alpha(-\Delta)u_1].\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega \varphi_\alpha\Delta^2\varphi_\alpha &= \int_\Omega \varphi_\alpha\Delta^2(x_\alpha u_1) \\ &= \Lambda_1 \int_\Omega \varphi_\alpha x_\alpha(-\Delta)u_1 + \int_\Omega \varphi_\alpha[\Delta^2(x_\alpha u_1) - x_\alpha\Delta^2 u_1].\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

It follows from (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}(\Lambda_2 - \Lambda_1)\int_\Omega |\nabla\varphi_\alpha|^2 \le& \Lambda_1 \int_\Omega \varphi_\alpha[\Delta(x_\alpha u_1) + x_\alpha(-\Delta)u_1] \\ &+ \int_\Omega \varphi_\alpha[\Delta^2(x_\alpha u_1) - x_\alpha\Delta^2 u_1].\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Again, by using integration by parts, one gets that

$$\begin{aligned}&\Lambda_1 \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} \int_\Omega \varphi_\alpha[\Delta(x_\alpha u_1) + x_\alpha(-\Delta)u_1] \\ =&\Lambda_1 \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} \int_\Omega x_\alpha u_1[\Delta(x_\alpha u_1) + x_\alpha(-\Delta)u_1] \\ =&\Lambda_1 \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} \int_\Omega x_\alpha u_1[-n x_\alpha u_1 + 2\langle\nabla x_\alpha, \nabla u_1\rangle] \\ =&-n\Lambda_1 \int_\Omega u_1^2.\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Inserting (2.7) into (2.6) yields

$$(\Lambda_2-\Lambda_1)\int_\Omega|\nabla\varphi_\alpha|^2\le -n\Lambda_1\int_\Omega u_1^2+\int_\Omega\varphi_\alpha[\Delta^2(x_\alpha u_1)-x_\alpha\Delta^2u_1]. \tag{2.8}$$

Let ∇ and ∇^2 be the gradient operator and the Hessian operator of $\mathbb{S}^n$, respectively. Then we have

$$\Delta(x_\alpha u_1)=-nx_\alpha u_1+2\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_1\rangle+x_\alpha\Delta u_1, \tag{2.9}$$

where we have used $\Delta x_\alpha=-nx_\alpha$. From the Ricci identity, it follows that

$$\Delta\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_1\rangle=2\langle\nabla^2x_\alpha,\nabla^2u_1\rangle+\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla\Delta u_1\rangle+(n-2)\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_1\rangle. \tag{2.10}$$

It is well-known that

$$\nabla^2x_\alpha=-x_\alpha\langle\ ,\ \rangle.$$

Therefore, (2.10) becomes

$$\Delta\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_1\rangle=-2x_\alpha\Delta u_1+\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla\Delta u_1\rangle+(n-2)\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_1\rangle. \tag{2.11}$$

By virtue of (2.9) and (2.11), a direct calculation yields

$$\Delta^2(x_\alpha u_1)=x_\alpha\Delta^2u_1+n^2x_\alpha u_1-2(n+2)x_\alpha\Delta u_1-4\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_1\rangle+4\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla\Delta u_1\rangle. \tag{2.12}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\int_\Omega\varphi_\alpha[\Delta^2(x_\alpha u_1)-x_\alpha\Delta^2u_1]\\
=&\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\int_\Omega x_\alpha u_1[\Delta^2(x_\alpha u_1)-x_\alpha\Delta^2u_1]\\
=&\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\int_\Omega x_\alpha u_1[n^2x_\alpha u_1-2(n+2)x_\alpha\Delta u_1-4\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_1\rangle+4\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla\Delta u_1\rangle]\\
=&n^2\int_\Omega u_1^2-2(n+2)\int_\Omega u_1\Delta u_1\\
=&n^2\int_\Omega u_1^2+2(n+2).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

From (2.8) and (2.13), we arrive at

$$(\Lambda_2-\Lambda_1)\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\int_\Omega|\nabla\varphi_\alpha|^2\le n(n-\Lambda_1)\int_\Omega u_1^2+2(n+2). \tag{2.14}$$

Let

$$D_\alpha=\int_\Omega\langle\nabla\varphi_\alpha,\nabla\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_1\rangle-\frac{n-2}{2}x_\alpha\nabla u_1\rangle.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} D_\alpha =&\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\int_\Omega \langle\nabla(x_\alpha u_1), \nabla\langle\nabla x_\alpha, \nabla u_1\rangle - \frac{n-2}{2}x_\alpha\nabla u_1\rangle\\ &-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} C_\alpha\int_\Omega \langle\nabla u_1, \nabla\langle\nabla x_\alpha, \nabla u_1\rangle - \frac{n-2}{2}x_\alpha\nabla u_1\rangle\\ =&\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\int_\Omega \langle\nabla(x_\alpha u_1), \nabla\langle\nabla x_\alpha, \nabla u_1\rangle - \frac{n-2}{2}x_\alpha\nabla u_1\rangle\\ =&\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\int_\Omega \langle\nabla(x_\alpha u_1), \nabla\langle\nabla x_\alpha, \nabla u_1\rangle\rangle - \frac{n-2}{2}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\int_\Omega\langle\nabla(x_\alpha u_1), x_\alpha\nabla u_1\rangle\\ =&-2-\frac{n-2}{2}\\ =&-\frac{n+2}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\int_\Omega |\nabla\langle\nabla x_\alpha, \nabla u_1\rangle - \frac{n-2}{2}x_\alpha\nabla u_1|^2\\ =&\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla\langle\nabla x_\alpha, \nabla u_1\rangle|^2 - (n-2)\int_\Omega\langle\nabla\langle\nabla x_\alpha\nabla u_1\rangle, x_\alpha\nabla u_1\rangle\right.\\ &\left.+\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}\int_\Omega |x_\alpha\nabla u_1|^2\right)\\ =&\Lambda_1+\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{(n+2)^2}{4} =&\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} D_\alpha\right)^2\\ \le&\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\int_\Omega|\nabla\varphi_\alpha|^2\right)\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\int_\Omega|\nabla\langle\nabla x_\alpha, \nabla u_1\rangle - \frac{n-2}{2}x_\alpha\nabla u_1|^2\right) \qquad (2.15)\\ =&\left(\Lambda_1+\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}\right)\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\int_\Omega|\nabla\varphi_\alpha|^2.\end{aligned}$$

By (2.15), we obtain

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\int_\Omega|\nabla\varphi_\alpha|^2 \ge \frac{(n+2)^2}{4\Lambda_1+(n-2)^2}. \qquad (2.16)$$

Applying (2.16) to (2.14) yields

$$\Lambda_2 \le \Lambda_1 + \left(n(n-\Lambda_1)\int_\Omega u_1^2 + 2(n+2)\right)\frac{4\Lambda_1+(n-2)^2}{(n+2)^2}. \qquad (2.17)$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let Ω_1, Ω_2 be two connected bounded domains in $\mathbb{S}^n$ and $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2$. Then it holds that $\Lambda_1(\Omega_1) \ge \Lambda_1(\Omega_2)$.*

Proof. Let $u_1(\Omega_1)$ be the eigenfunction corresponding to $\Lambda_1(\Omega_1)$. Then the function defined by

$$\widetilde{u_1} = \begin{cases} u_1 & \text{in } \Omega_1, \\ 0 & \text{in } \Omega_2 - \Omega_1 \end{cases}$$

is a eigenfunction corresponding to $\Lambda_1(\Omega_2)$. This easily proves Lemma 2.1.

Let λ_1 be the first eigenvalue of Laplacian. Then $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{S}^n) = \lambda_1(\mathbb{S}^n) = n$ because there are no boundary conditions in this case. It follows that

$$\Lambda_1(\Omega_1) \geq n \tag{2.18}$$

by setting $\Omega = \Omega_1$ and $\Omega_2 = \mathbb{S}^n$ in Lemma 2.1.

Using Schwarz inequality, we have

$$1 = \int_\Omega |\nabla u_1|^2 = \int_\Omega u_1(-\Delta)u_1 \leq \left(\int_\Omega u_1^2 \int_\Omega (\Delta u_1)^2\right)^{1/2} = \left(\Lambda_1 \int_\Omega u_1^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

Hence,

$$\int_\Omega u_1^2 \geq \frac{1}{\Lambda_1}. \tag{2.19}$$

Applying (2.18) and (2.19) into (2.17) yields

$$\Lambda_2 \leq \Lambda_1 + \left(\frac{n(n-\Lambda_1)}{\Lambda_1} + 2(n+2)\right)\frac{4\Lambda_1 + (n-2)^2}{(n+2)^2}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. From the inequality (2.18), we have

$$\frac{n(n-\Lambda_1)}{\Lambda_1} + 2(n+2) \leq 2(n+2). \tag{2.20}$$

Applying (2.20) to (1.5) completes the proof of Corollary 1.2.

References

[1] M.S. Ashbaugh. Isoperimetric and universal inequalities for eigenvalues, in Spectral theory and geometry (Edinburgh, 1998) E. B. Davies, and Yu Safalov eds., London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes vol. 273, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999. pp. 95-139.

[2] Z.C. Chen and C.L. Qian. On the upper bound of eigenvalues for elliptic equations with higher orders, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **1**86 (1994), 821-834.

[3] Q.M. Cheng and H.C. Yang. Universal bounds for eigenvalues of a buckling problem, Commun. Math. Phys. **2**62 (2006), 663-675.

[4] G.N. Hile and R.Z. Yeh. Inequalities for eigenvalues of the biharmonic operator, Pacific J. Math. **1**12 (1984), 115-133.

[5] G.Y. Huang, X.X. Li and L.F. Cao. Universal bounds on eigenvalues of the buckling problem on spherical domains, to appear

[6] L.E. Payne, G. Pólya and H. F. Weinberger. On the ratio of consecutive eigenvalues, J. Math. Phys. **3**5 (1956), 289-298.

[7] Q.L. Wang and C.Y. Xia. Universal inequalities for eigenvalues of the buckling problem on spherical domains, Commun. Math. Phys. **2**70 (2007), 759-775.